

*Attorneys for the Defendants*

IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
LITIGATION

This Document Solely Relates To:

*Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation et al.*  
*v. Bush, et al.* (07-CV-109-VRW)

No. M:06-CV-01791-VRW

**STIPULATION EXTENDING PAGE  
LIMITATION FOR DEFENDANTS’  
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND  
MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT IN *Al-Haramain Islamic  
Foundation et al. v. Bush et al.***

Date: April 23, 2008  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Courtroom: 6, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Honorable Vaughn R. Walker

1. On March 14, 2008, Defendants filed their Second Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment. *See* Dkt. No. 17 (07-CV-109).
2. On March 28, 2008, Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to Defendants' motion. *See* Dkt. No. 20 (07-CV-109).

[Dockets.Justia.com](https://www.dockets.justia.com)

3. By Order dated February 7, 2008, the Court permitted Amicus briefs to be filed in connection with Defendants' motion by April 7, 2008. *See* Dkt. 15 (07-CV-109).

4. On April 7, 2008, two Amicus briefs were filed in connection with Defendants' motion. *See* Dkt. Nos. 440 and 442 (MDL 06-CV-1791).

5. Defendants require additional pages to reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition and the Amicus briefs filed in connection with Defendants' motion.

6. The Court's Order of February 7, 2008 granted the parties a page extension for their initial submissions to 40 pages; however, Defendants' initial motion did not exceed the 25-page limitation of the local rules.

7. Counsel for Defendants (Mr. Coppolino) conferred with counsel for the Plaintiffs (Mr. Eisenberg) and obtained Plaintiffs' consent to this page extension.

8. Consistent with ¶ 6 of the Court's Practice & Procedure Order, *see* Dkt. No. 370 (MDL 06-CV-1791), this stipulation is being filed five days before the due date of Defendant's reply (April 14, 2008). (The Amicus briefs were filed the evening of April 7, 2008.)

## STIPULATION

The Plaintiffs and Defendants in *Al-Haramain v. Bush* (06-CV-109), through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree that the page limitation for Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Second Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment and Amicus briefs filed in connection with Defendants' pending motion, be extended to 25 pages.

A proposed Order for the Court's approval of this stipulation is attached hereto.

Dated: April 9, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

JEFFREY S. BUCHOLTZ  
Acting Assistant Attorney General

CARL J. NICHOLS  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
Terrorism Litigation Counsel

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Branch Director

s/ Anthony J. Coppolino  
ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
Special Litigation Counsel

s/ Alexander K. Haas  
ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division  
Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone: (202) 514-4782  
Fax: (202) 616-8460  
Attorneys for the Defendants

**DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B**

I, ALEXANDER K. HAAS, do hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that  
I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this stipulation from the signatory listed below.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 9, 2008 in the City of Washington, District of Columbia.

By: s/ Alexander K. Haas  
ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
Special Litigation Counsel  
ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division  
Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone: (202) 514-4782  
Fax: (202) 616-8460

Attorneys for the Defendants

By: s/ Jon B. Eisenberg per G.O. 45  
JON B. EISENBERG  
California Bar No. 88278 (jon@eandhlaw.com)  
Eisenberg & Hancock LLP  
1970 Broadway, Suite 1200 • Oakland, CA 94612  
510.452.2581 – Fax 510.452.3277

Counsel for Plaintiffs Al-Haramain Islamic  
Foundation, Inc., Wendell Belew, and  
Asim Ghafoor

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, the page limitation for Defendants' Reply in Support of Defendants' Second Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment is hereby extended to 25 pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April \_\_, 2008.

---

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
Chief Judge, United States District Court for the  
Northern District of California